

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/807,557	03/23/	2004	Albert H. M. Reinhardt	073338.0186 (04-50460 FLA	4267	
5073 7590 07/20/2007 BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.					EXAMINER		
2001 ROSS AVENUE SUITE 600					LIANG, REGINA		
	DALLAS, TX	75201-2980			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				•	2629		
					NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
					07/20/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mike.furr@bakerbotts.com ptomail1@bakerbotts.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/807,557	REINHARDT ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Regina Liang	2629			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is used to be a sound or the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	03 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
	4) Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-21</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	Claim(s) 1-6, 8-21 is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement				
٥,١	are easyest to restriction and s	oloollon roquirolloni				
Applicati	on Papers					
• ·—	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acco					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	÷				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
• —	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
* (application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ad			
	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive				
Attachmen	nt(s)					
· ==	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F				

Application/Control Number: 10/807,557 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

1. The finality of last action is withdrawn.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-6, 8-21 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No. 7,180,501. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are obvious over each other.

The following is an example for comparing claim 1 of this application and claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 7,180,501.

claim 1 of this application	claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 7,180,501
A motion controlled handheld device	A motion controlled handheld device

Application/Control Number: 10/807,557

Art Unit: 2629

comprising: a display having a viewable	comprising: a display having a viewable
surface and operable to generate a current	surface and operable to generate a current
image;	image;
a motion detection module operable to detect	a motion detection module operable to detect
motion of the device within three dimensions	motion of the device within three dimensions
and to identify components of the motion in	and to identify components of the motion in
relation to the viewable surface, the	relation to the viewable surface;
components comprising a first component	
parallel to the viewable surface, a second	
component parallel to the viewable surface and	
perpendicular to the first component, and a	
third component perpendicular to the viewable	
surface;	
a display control module operable to display a	
cursor on the viewable surface, to determine a	
translation vector that is substantially opposite	
to the sum of the first component and the	
second component, and to translate the cursor	
across the viewable surface according to the	
translation vector in order to substantially	
maintain the position of the cursor in space	,
with respect to the viewable surface;	
	I

Application/Control Number: 10/807,557

Art Unit: 2629

a gesture database comprising a plurality of a gesture database comprising a plurality of gestures, each gesture defined by a motion of gestures, each gesture defined by a motion of the device with respect to a first position of the the device with respect to a first position of the device; device, the gestures comprising at least four planar gestures each defined by a motion vector generally aligned in parallel with the viewable surface; a gesture mapping database mapping each of a gesture mapping database mapping each of the gestures to a corresponding command; the gestures to a corresponding command, the gesture mapping database mapping each of the four planar gestures to a corresponding grid navigation command; a motion response module operable to identify a motion response module operable to identify a matching one of the gestures based on the a matching one of the planar gestures based on motion and to determine a corresponding one the motion and to determine the corresponding of the commands based on the identified one of the grid navigation commands based on gesture; and the identified planar gesture; wherein the display control module is further and a display control module operable to operable to logically parse a viewable image logically parse a viewable image into a into a plurality of grid sections, to set one of plurality of grid sections, to set one of the grid the grid sections as the current image, and to sections as the current image, and to set set another one of the grid sections as the another one of the grid sections as the current

Application/Control Number: 10/807,557 Page 5

Art Unit: 2629

current image in response to the determined	image in response to the determined grid
command.	navigation command.

As can be seen above, claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 7,180,501 differs from claim 1 of this application in not having a first and second components and the display control module operable to display a cursor on the viewable surface, to determine a translation vector that is substantially opposite to the sum of the first component and the second component, and to translate the cursor across the viewable surface according to the translation vector in order to substantially maintain the position of the cursor in space with respect to the viewable surface. However, the patent claims are in comprising format and therefore cover structure not specifically recited. The patent disclosure clearly describes the first and second components, and the display control module operable to display a cursor on the viewable surface and are encompassed by the patent claims comprising format.

In view of the above analysis, applicant's claim 1 and patent claim 1 are not patentably distinct from one another and in the absence of a terminal disclaimer would result in an unjustifiable time wise extension of applicant patent.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Regina Liang whose telephone number is (571) 272-7693. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached on (571) 272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,557 Page 6

Art Unit: 2629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Regina Liang Primary Examiner Art Unit 2674

7/11/07